Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) Meeting Wednesday, March 15th, 2023, 3:00pm City Hall – Caldwell Park Room 2nd Floor 777 Cypress Avenue, Redding CA

MEMBERS	Agency/Title	Present	Absent
Tracie Neal	Shasta County Chief of Probation - Chairperson	Х	
Bill Schueller	City of Redding Police Chief		Х
Michael Johnson	Shasta County Sheriff	Х	
Stephanie Bridgett	Shasta County District Attorney	Х	
William Bateman	Shasta County Public Defender	Х	
Melissa Fowler-Bradley	Shasta County Superior Court		Х
Laura Burch	Agency Director of Shasta County Health & Human Services Agency (HHSA)	Х	
Tim Garman	Shasta County Supervisor	Х	
Miguel Rodriguez	Shasta County HHSA Branch Director		Х
Dwayne Green	Shasta County HHSA Branch Director	X	
Vacant	Shasta County HHSA Branch Director		Х
Judy Flores	Shasta County Superintendent	Х	
Angela Mellis	Crime Victims Assistance Center Program Manager		Х
Danielle Gehrung	Shasta Day Reporting Center Program Manager	Х	

Attendees:

Chelsey Chappelle, Carol Ulloa, Melanie Jarrett, Michael Flynn, Katy Payne-Scates, Daren Fisher – **Shasta County Probation**

Jenn Rossi – Shasta County Administrative Office

Rich Vietheer - Shasta County Auditor-Controller's Office

Brian Jackson – Shasta County Sheriff's Office

Shawn Watts - Shasta County Superior Court

Robert Bowman - Shasta College STEP-UP Program

Michael Burke - Pathways to Hope for Children

Wesley Tucker – Shasta County Veterans Services

Justin Benson – CDCR

Meeting Overview

The meeting was called to order at 2:59 p.m. A quorum was present. Introductions were made.

Public Comment

These was no public comment.

Approval of Meeting Minutes

Stephanie Bridgett moved to approve the meeting minutes for March 16th, 2022. Michael Johnson seconded the motion. Motion passed: 8 Ayes, 0 Noes, 1 Abstention from Judy Flores.

Financial Report

Financial Report on the State allocation to Shasta County

Jenn Rossi shared revenue is coming in strong similar to last year, with February being the largest deposit. Jenn said she does not necessarily have any concerns moving forward for this fiscal year.

Discussion Items

A. CCPEC members shall provide a summary of Executive Committee activities since March 16, 2022.

Tracie Neal touched on some of the key items the committee has been doing over the past year. Tracie said, in August, the committee received a presentation on the Shasta Day Reporting Center 2022 Annual Report, a presentation on accelerated Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS), and information from Sheriff Johnson on the County Jail floor closure and how CCP dollars would be put on hold due to that closure. In November, the committee received a presentation on the STEP-UP Program; a presentation from Dr. Doug McMullin on tattoo removal. The committee also looked at CCP distributions. In November, the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) Community Correction Partnership Survey was submitted, the committee approved the CCP meeting calendar for 2023 and talked about the CCP member vacancy. In January, the committee talked about CCP planning and training dollars and had a preliminary budget discussion for Fiscal Year 2023/24, the FY 2021/22 Annual Report was also approved, and the committee discussed and voted on the CCP Membership vacancy. In February, the committee receive a presentation on the Participants' Action To Housing (PATH) Program, a presentation on the Correctional Program Checklist, and the committee also approved the budget for FY 2023/24.

There was no further comment or additional input on activities.

B. Committee members will receive a presentation from Sheriff Michael Johnson on jail beds, contract jail beds, and legislative impacts.

Tracie Neal started the presentation by giving the CCP members a brief update about the February CCP Executive Committee meeting on the budget and the discussion with Michael Johnson on a funding increase of \$450,000 for out of county jail beds.

Michael Johnson began his presentation by saying his primary focus will be on mental health and medication assistance programs in the jail and the impacts on jail bed space moving forward.

Michael explained the Jail Based Competency Treatment (JBCT) program, which is currently in place and has 8 beds dedicated to it. This program is designed to accommodate persons who are involved in the Criminal Justice System and deemed by the Court to be Incompetent to Stand Trial (IST). There is an area of the jail specifically designed for this population where they receive a much higher level of treatment and programming. The goal is to restore the person to competency so they can understand and participate in their own trial and defense. Most of this population will go to a state

hospital at some point because they are at a greater need and level of care than what the jail can provide. They are restored to competency before they can be returned to the jail so they can go into general population.

Michael said, three to four of the eight designated beds are designated for persons from outside the county as Shasta County is sort of the JBCT hub for the North State. Some of the smaller counties who are limited, send their IST population here for this program. This has an impact on bed availability due to limited space in the jail.

The state is also implementing a new program called Early Access and Stabilization Services (EASS). EASS is designed to identify the population who are at risk of becoming IST. Jail staff can get services in place before a person becomes an IST and begin competency restoration for patients in California jails within a week of an IST determination by the Court. The goal is to keep some of the most acute and vulnerable individuals with serious medical or behavioral conditions out of jail and prison, and in the community while participating in programs to support their mental health and competency. Michael said the reality is, this population isn't leaving the jail. The jail is treating roughly 20 people a day classified as EASS in the facility. Right now, the services consist of psych visits and medication. These 20 plus people cause extra movement in the jail, which causes safety concerns, logistics concerns and staffing concerns. There also isn't enough space in the jail for these programs.

Michael said the state assigns each county a number for persons that they can send to a state hospital each year. Shasta's County's number is 43 persons. If the county goes over, the state starts fining the county, which comes out of the general fund and impacts everyone. The state then holds that money until the end of the year and the county must apply for the money to get it back. If the state returns the money, it must be used for specific programs. Michael also stated the success rate given by the state for EASS since the implementation is only 18.1%. Michael would like to see a higher success rate for the amount it is impacting each county.

Michael added more information on things that are impacting custody staff which include the California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) and the Medical Assisted Treatment Program.

William Bateman commended jail staff for taking on this responsibility and more of a Social Work role. William asked, how do you assess someone for EASS? Michael explained the questionnaire at booking and the process for identifying who needs these services instead of waiting until the Court deems someone an IST. Bill also spoke about Care Court and the anticipated impact.

Robert Bowman asked how successful those returning from the JBCT program are once they return to the jail. Michael stated he asked the same question of his jail commanders and they have not seen a person enter the program twice.

Danielle Gehrung asked if there are specific characteristics that determine a person to be incompetent? Michael said this is a Court process and determined by a judge, the jail is screening and doing EASS.

Jenn Rossi added, Shasta County must apply for the fine money and the state must accept the application to get those general funds back, then we must report on those funds ongoing. The fine is over \$100,000 per person once Shasta County exceeds 43 persons.

Tracie asked Michael, what can the CCP do to support you or what kind of conversations can we have in the future? Michael will be looking into what assistance is needed.

Laura Burch had to leave at this time due to other obligations.

C. Committee Members will receive a presentation from Division Director Carol Ulloa and Danielle Gehrung on the Day Reporting Center and Probation's partnership to enhance and increase the success of participants in the program.

Tracie Neal gave a quick overview of the Day Reporting Center and their success.

Carol Ulloa spoke about the Community Corrections Center and the Day Reporting Center (DRC). Carol provided information on the DRC assigned Probation Officer/liaison and their role in partnering with the DRC to help enforce participation and get buy in from the participant by getting the participant to see the benefits the DRC can provide to them. This Probation Officer/liaison is there for every staffing, even if the participant is assigned to a different Probation Officer to provide consistency and to provide information to the assigned Probation Officer if the officer cannot attend. This Probation Officer/liaison is also looking at each individual as they come to Probation and doing a needs assessment to see who is appropriate and could gain some benefit from the DRC.

Danielle Gehrung provided a handout of the Shasta DRC Daily Report. Danielle went over the details captured in this abbreviated report that is provided to Probation as a key communication tool between Probation and the DRC. This report shows the status on each person, a drug screening report, and referrals. The DRC also has an additional daily report showing their communication with Probation on participants.

Daniele added, the DRC shuts down for two hours every Monday for a meeting to discuss what is working for participants and participant needs. There is also a staffing request form that is filled out for Probation Officers who are unbale to attend so the officer knows what was identified and what the DRC needs the officer's support on. Danielle also highlighted the participant BCM meetings with the case manager and Probation staff for a more productive meeting with the participant that allows for transparency and accountability.

Tim Garman said that each time he has been to the DRC, a previous client has come in and spoken about how proud and happy they are to have been a part of the DRC.

Danielle added that Ms. Parks is celebrating 10th year with the DRC. Danielle told the group how valuable Ms. Parks is and how significant her longevity is to the DRC. Danielle also spoke about the the DRC gets and shared a story about a father who came in to thank staff for helping his son in 2015.

Robert Bowman said he has been working with the DRC for 7 years. He has great things to say about the DRC. Robert added, he can see improvements in DRC participants he works with.

Action Items

There were no action items.

Operational Updates

Danielle Gehrung announced the Shasta Day Reporting Center (DRC) will be celebrating their 10-year anniversary. The DRC will be hosting an Open House on Tuesday, April 4th, from 11:00am to 3:00pm. Danielle said this event is open to the public and she would encourage everyone to come by. She also said the tours will be participant led. Danielle will send an invitation with more details to Melanie Jarrett for distribution to the CCP Members and partners.

Robert Bowman announced the Step-Up graduation will be May 11th, 2023, in the Shasta College theater. Robert was proud to add that the Student of The Year is a logging student.

Other Items for Discussion/Future Agenda Items

Tracie Neal noted items to be discussed at future meetings.

Meeting Schedules

Executive Committee May 17, 2023, City Hall – Caldwell Park Room 2nd Floor 3:00 pm to 5:00 pm Full Committee September 13, 2023, City Hall – Civic Center Community Room 3:00 pm to 5:00 pm Executive Committee October 18, 2023, City Hall – Caldwell Park Room 2nd Floor 3:00 pm to 5:00 pm Adjourn

Michael Johnson made a motion to adjourn. Motion to Adjourn was passed with 8 Ayes, 0 Noes, and 0 Abstentions. Meeting was adjourned at 4:03pm.